

PROJECT: 23-1029 REST, WALLA WALLA RIVER B2B PHASE 3A RESTORATION

Sponsor: Tri-State Steelheaders Inc Program: Salmon State Projects Status: Application Resubmitted

Parties to the Agreement

PRIMARY SPONSOR

Tri-State Steelheaders Inc

Address PO Box 1375**City** Walla Walla **State** WA **Zip** 99362**Org Type** Non-Gov-Reg Fisheries Enhance Group**Vendor #** SWV0015388-00**UBI** 601169392**Date Org created****Org Notes**[link to Organization profile](#)☐ Org data updated

SECONDARY SPONSORS

No records to display

MANAGING AGENCY

Recreation and Conservation Office

LEAD ENTITY

Snake River Salmon Rec Bd LE

QUESTIONS

#1: List project partners and their role and contribution to the project.

CTUIR as technical review
Walla Walla Conservation District project match and technical review

External Systems

SPONSOR ASSIGNED INFO

Sponsor-Assigned Project Number**Sponsor-Assigned Regions**

EXTERNAL SYSTEM REFERENCE

Source	Project Number	Submitter
HWS	23-1029	AFitzgerald

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Project Contacts

Contact Name Primary Org	Project Role	Work Phone	Work Email
<u>Alice Rubin</u> Rec. and Conserv. Office	Project Manager	(360) 867-8584	alice.rubin@rco.wa.gov
<u>Morgan Morris</u> Tri-State Steelheaders Inc	Project Contact	(509) 529-3543	morgan@tristatesteelheaders.com
<u>Brian Burns</u> Tri-State Steelheaders Inc	Alt Project Contact	(509) 529-3543	brian.burns@tristatesteelheaders.com
<u>Ali Fitzgerald</u> Snake River Salmon Rec Bd LE	Lead Entity Contact	(509) 382-4115	ali@snakeriverboard.org

Worksites & Properties

Worksite Name

#1 Walla Walla B2B Phase 3A

Restoration	Property Name
✓	Mike Buckley

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Worksite Map & Description

Worksite #1: Walla Walla B2B Phase 3A

WORKSITE ADDRESS

Street Address Lowden Gardena Rd
City, State, Zip Walla Walla WA 99362

Worksite Details

Worksite #1: Walla Walla B2B Phase 3A

SITE ACCESS DIRECTIONS

From Lowden, head west on Highway 12. Turn south onto Lowden-Gardena Rd. The project site is accessed from the first bridge you come to.

TARGETED ESU SPECIES

Species by ESU	Egg Present	Juvenile Present	Adult Present	Population Trend
Chinook-Middle Columbia River Spring, Not Warranted		✓	✓	Unknown
Steelhead-Middle Columbia River, Walla Walla River, Threatened		✓	✓	Declining

Reference or source used

WDFW

TARGETED NON-ESU SPECIES

Species by Non-ESU	Notes
Bull Trout	
Rainbow	
Lamprey	

Questions

#1: Give street address or road name and mile post for this worksite if available.

Lowden Gardena Rd mile post 1

Project Location

RELATED PROJECTS

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Projects in PRISM

PRISM Number	Project Name	Program Name	Current Status	Relationship Type	Notes
17-1267 R	Bridge to Bridge Restoration Phase 2-	Salmon State Projects	Closed Completed	Earlier Phase	Most recent restoration of phase 2
14-1902 P	Bridge to Bridge Final Restoration Design	Salmon Federal Projects	Closed Completed	Earlier Phase	
11-1588 R	Bridge to Bridge - Levee Removal	Salmon Federal Projects	Closed Completed	Earlier Phase	
10-1819 P	Bridge to Bridge Levee Final Design	Salmon Federal Projects	Closed Completed	Earlier Phase	
08-2028 P	Walla Walla River Bridge to Bridge Rest Design	Salmon Federal Projects	Closed Completed	Earlier Phase	
19-1497 P	Walla Walla B2B Phase 3 Design	Salmon State Projects	Active	Current Phase	Design project for phase 3 to be completed in Mar 2023

Related Project Notes

Questions

#1: Project location. Describe the geographic location, water bodies, and the location of the project in the watershed, i.e. nearshore, tributary, main-stem, off-channel, etc.

The proposed project is on the lower Walla Walla River main stem between McDonald Rd and Lowden Road. The project is about 25 miles upstream from the confluence with the Columbia River. This project will address about 1,000 ft of the channel

#2: How does this project fit within your regional recovery plan and/or local lead entity's strategy to restore or protect salmonid habitat? Cite section and page number.

This section of the Walla Walla River is identified by the Snake River Recovery Board as a priority restoration reach and major spawning area. CTUIR Lower Walla Walla River Geomorphic Assessment and Actions Plan (2014) identifies the reach as priority for restoration. The 2008 Fish Accords (Three Treaty Tribes-Action Agencies 2008), the Lower Walla Walla River was identified as a top priority in the CTUIR Independent Science Review Panel proposal (2013).

#3: Is this project part of a larger overall project?

Yes

#3a: How does this project fit into the sequencing of the larger project?

This project is the 3rd construction phase in the Bridge to Bridge restoration project. Due to cost of implementing the complete phase 3, we have divided this into phase 3a and phase 3b. Phase 1 was completed in 2013 and Phase 2 in 2021. Phase 4, the final phase, is proposed in this grant round for design.

#4: Is the project on State Owned Aquatic Lands? Please contact the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to make a determination. [Aquatic Districts and Managers](#)

No

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Property Details

Property: Mike Buckley (Worksite #1: Walla Walla B2B Phase 3A)

✓ Restoration

LANDOWNER

Name Mike Buckley
Address 11527 W. Highway 12
City Walla Walla
State WA Zip 99362
Type Private

CONTROL & TENURE

Instrument Type Landowner Agreement
Timing Proposed
Term Length Fixed # of years
Yrs 10
Expiration Date
Note

Project Proposal

Project Description

The Bridge-to-Bridge Restoration Design completed in 2010 (RCO project #08-2028) developed preliminary plans for nearly two miles of the Walla Walla River near Lowden, WA. Implementation began in 2013, when Phase 1 (#11-1588) removed about a half-mile of levee and added large wood to the reach. Phase 2 (#17-1267) added large wood to a section of the river that was lacking any in 2021. Phase 3 plans are complete, and will be implemented as Phases 3A and 3B due to project costs. Phase 3A will address limiting factors by placing logs and log structures along 1,000 ft of the Walla Walla River to improve channel complexity, maintain pools, create off-channel areas, and encourage side channels. Riparian plantings will address limiting factors by increasing shade and improving riparian function. This section of the Walla Walla River is identified by The Snake River Salmon Recovery Plan as a priority restoration reach in the Walla Walla mainstem major spawning area. Adult and juvenile summer steelhead and spring Chinook use the project reach during their migrations and Bull Trout occur there seasonally. Other species of cultural value and state concern that utilize the project reach are Margined Sculpin, Leopard Dace, and River Lamprey.

Project Questions

#1: Problem statement. What are the problems your project seeks to address? Include the source and scale of each problem. Describe the site, reach, and watershed conditions. Describe how those conditions impact salmon populations. Include current and historic factors important to understand the problems.

The lower Walla Walla River, between McDonald Rd bridge and Lowden Rd Bridge, lacks aquatic, riparian, and upland habitat. Due to limited instream and off-channel habitat for anadromous fish, there has been documented increased mortality among out-migrating smolts in the lower Walla Walla River, with as many as 70 percent failing to reach McNary Dam, as stated in the Geomorphic Assessment and Action Plan by CTUIR in 2014. The current conditions and sources of mortality in the lower Walla Walla River may hinder important salmonid overwinter rearing and overall recovery of fish species, as noted by CTUIR in 2014. The USFWS multi-year synthesis for the Walla Walla River (Schaller et al. 2014) suggests that because the lower river has degraded habitat conditions and bull trout migrate downstream out of the headwater area, small classes of migratory bull trout may be the most susceptible to mortality.

Project Application Report - 23-1029

#2: Describe the limiting factors, and/or ecological concerns, and limiting life stages (by fish species) that your project expects to address.

According to the Lower Walla Walla River Geomorphic Assessment and Action Plan by the CTUIR 2014, the lower Walla Walla River in the project reach is a low-gradient, primarily single-channel, straightened, and restricted from its historic flood plain. It also lacks riparian areas and complexity. The project reach is affected by specific physical and physiological limiting factors such as water quantity, quality, and temperature, as well as biological factors such as predation. These conditions particularly impact out-migrating juveniles for target species mid-Columbia Steelhead and reintroduced Spring Chinook.

#3: What are the project goals? The goal of the project should be to solve identified problems by addressing the root causes. Then clearly state the desired future condition. Include which species and life stages will benefit from the outcome, and the time of year the benefits will be realized. [Example Goals and Objectives](#)

The overarching objective of this project is to improve and diversify the aquatic and riparian habitats while increasing flood plain connectivity and minimizing excessive terrace erosion within project reach. This will ultimately enhance the quantity, quality, and diversity of habitat for target species, especially for out-migrating juveniles and winter rearing.

#4: What are the project objectives? Objectives support and refine biological goals, breaking them down into smaller steps. Objectives are specific, quantifiable actions the project will complete to achieve the stated goal. Each objective should be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound). [Example Goals and Objectives](#)

Objective 1: Add large wood structures including bank rootwads and flow deflection jams and apex jams, providing increased spawning gravel bars, pool and cover for juveniles, and stream braiding.

Objective 2: Plant riparian species on 2.5 acres, including willow, water birch, black cottonwood, and red osier dogwood. Plant 6 acres of upland grass species like basin wildrye, bluegrass, and snake river wheatgrass.

Objective 3: Minimize bank erosion along upper terraces with riparian plantings on targeted unstable banks.

Objective 4: Increase floodplain connectivity with excavation and enhancement with LWD of side channels to improve off channel winter rearing habitat.

#5: Scope of work and deliverables. Provide a detailed description of each project task/element. With each task/element, identify who will be responsible for each, what the deliverables will be, and the schedule for completion.

This project will deliver the construction of Phase 3A of the Bridge-to-Bridge project. The Tri-State Steelheaders will be responsible for completion of construction project. including

- Project permitting
- Finalize construction bid documents
- Construction bid process and contracting Early 2025
- Implementation and construction July-October 2025
- Project completion verification through as-built report October 2025

#6: What are the assumptions and physical constraints that could impact whether you achieve your objectives? Assumptions and constraints are external conditions that are not under the direct control of the project, but directly impact the outcome of the project. These may include ecological and geomorphic factors, land use constraints, public acceptance of the project, delays, or other factors. How will you address these issues if they arise?

The project is taking place on the mainstem of the Walla Walla River taking advantage of normal low seasonal flow, increase in flow outside of predictable window could impact project timeline. Landowner has shown support for previous phase but their corroboration is still required. An irrigation withdrawal is in the project reach. The landowner has expressed concerns about maintaining flow to the pump station.

Project Application Report - 23-1029

#7: How have lessons learned from completed projects or monitoring studies informed this project?

Phase 2 utilized a vibratory pounder to install log piles. This minimizes ground disturbance, and reduces construction time. We propose to use the technique again for Phase 3A.

#8: Describe the alternatives considered and why the preferred was chosen.

The alternative analysis was created in Bridge to Bridge Restoration Design (#08-2028). The process involved the identification of the project's goals and objectives. Several enhancement alternatives were developed to a conceptual level using similar assumptions and cost estimates to facilitate a reasonable side by side comparison. The alternative with the highest benefit-to-cost ratio as defined by the overriding project goals and input from stakeholders. The alternative which included the protection of terrace banks, creating off-channel habitat, realigning portions of the channel, and excavating off-channel habitat was chosen for it's preferred cost-to-benefit rating.

#9: How were stakeholders consulted in the development of this project? Identify the stakeholders, their concerns or feedback, and how those concerns were addressed.

CTUIR, WDFW, and WWCCD have been consulted during the development of this phase and previous phases. There is only one landowner for Phases 2, 3, and 4. He is consulted when draft designs are ready.

#10: Does your project address or accommodate the anticipated effects of climate change?

Yes

#10a: How will your project be climate resilient given future conditions?

Climate change is increasing the likelihood of warmer air temperatures and more precipitation in the winter and reduced precipitation in the summer. This project provides stream complexity allowing for greater survivability in an increased range of conditions include flow and temperature.

#10b: How will your project increase habitat and species adaptability?

Upon implementation, by the installation of large woody debris in the project reach, in addition to riparian tree plantings, we hope increased shading and habitat will maintain cooler water temperatures for the benefit of cold-water fish species.

#11: Describe the sponsor's experience managing this type of project. Describe other projects where the sponsor has successfully used a similar approach.

The sponsor began working on Walla Walla River Bridge to Bridge with the 08-2028 initial design. The sponsor has completed 2 previous projects in the reach.

#12: Will veterans (including the veterans conservation corps) be involved in the project? If yes, please describe.

No

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Restoration Supplemental

#1: What level of design (per Appendix D) have you completed? Please attach.

Final

#2: Will (or did) a licensed professional engineer design the project?

Yes

#3: Does the project include measures to stabilize an eroding stream bank?

No

#4: Is the primary activity of the project invasive species removal?

No

#5: Is the primary activity of the project riparian planting?

No

#6: Describe the steps you will take to minimize the introduction of invasive species during construction and restoration. Consider how you will use un-infested materials and clean equipment entering and leaving the project area.

Project will require contractor follow WDFW invasive species management protocols (2022) for aquatic management areas.

#7: Describe the long-term stewardship and maintenance obligations for the project.

TSS will continue to monitor project reach after the close of the contract to assess the effect and inform potential future projects.

Restoration Metrics

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Worksite: Walla Walla B2B Phase 3A (#1)

Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b)	0.
Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (C.0.c)	National Marine Fisheries Service, 200 Middle Columbia River Steelhead Distir Population Segment ESA Recovery Plan Portland, OR. Bridge to Bridge project identified as a priority in the Walla Wa 2050 plan. Snake River Salmon Recove Board (2021 Version). Snake Riv Salmon Recovery Region Provisional Wc Plan. Dayton, W
Priority in Recovery Plan	National Marine Fisheries Service, 200 Middle Columbia River Steelhead Distir Population Segment ESA Recovery Plan Portland, O
Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)	No
Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)	No monitoring complet

INSTREAM HABITAT PROJECT

Total Miles Of Instream Habitat Treated (C.4.b)	0.
Channel reconfiguration and connectivity (C.4.c.1)	
Total cost for Channel reconfiguration and connectivity	\$88,7
Type of change to channel configuration and connectivity (C.4.c.2)	Creation/Connection to C Channel Habii
Miles of Stream Treated for channel reconfiguration and connectivity (C.4.c.3)	0.
Miles of Off-Channel Stream Created or Connected (C.4.c.4)	0. Note: estimated based on side channel creation
Acres Of Channel/Off-Channel Connected Or Added (C.4.c.5)	12 Note: estimated on floodplain connector in project area
Instream Pools Created/Added (C.4.c.6)	Note: estimated by LWS in main channe

Channel structure placement (C.4.d.1)

Total cost for Channel structure placement	\$312,3
Material Used For Channel Structure (C.4.d.2)	Individual Logs (Anchore Individual Lo (Unanchore Logs Fastened Togeth (Logja Stumps With Roots Attach (Rootwac
Miles of Stream Treated for channel structure placement (C.4.d.3)	0.
Pools Created through channel structure placement (C.4.d.5)	Note: estimated by LWS in main channe
Number of structures placed in channel (C.4.d.7)	: Note: includes apex jams 10, deflection jams 12, bank root wads 9, sweeper logs single logs 20

RIPARIAN HABITAT PROJECT

Total Riparian Miles Streambank Treated (C.5.b.1)	0.
Total Riparian Acres Treated (C.5.b.2)	8
Planting (C.5.c.1)	
Total cost for Planting	\$5,7
Species Of Plants planted in riparian (C.5.c.2)	Willow (Salix Sp), Water birch (Betu occidentalis), Black Cottonwood (Popul balsamifera), Redosier Dogwood (Corn sericea), Oregon Ash (Fraxinus latifoli Basin wildrye (Ieymus cinereu Sandberg's bluegrass (Poa scund Snake River wheatgrass(Elym wawawaiensis), and Meadow Barl

Project Application Report - 23-1029

(Hordeum brachyantherur

Acres Planted in riparian (C.5.c.3)	8
Miles of streambank planted (C.5.c.4)	0.
Average Riparian Width	2
Site Potential Tree Height at 200 years (SPTH-200)	No SPTH data available for the lower Wa Walla Riv

PERMITS

Obtain permits

Total cost to Obtain permits	\$5,0
Number of permits required for implementation of project	

ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING

Architectural & Engineering (A&E)

Total cost for Architectural & Engineering (A&E)	\$20,4
--	--------

AGENCY INDIRECT COSTS

Agency Indirect

Total cost for Agency Indirect	\$2,0
--------------------------------	-------

Overall Project Metrics

COMPLETION DATE

Projected date of completion	12/31/20
------------------------------	----------

Restoration Cost Estimates

Worksite #1: Walla Walla B2B Phase 3A

Category	Work Type	Estimated Cost	Note
Agency Indirect Costs	Agency Indirect	\$2,000	
Instream Habitat Project	Channel reconfiguration and connectivity (C.4.c.1)	\$88,725	
	Channel structure placement (C.4.d.1)	\$312,317	
Permits	Obtain permits	\$5,000	
Riparian Habitat Project	Planting (C.5.c.1)	\$5,770	
	Subtotal:	\$413,812	
Admin, Architecture, and Engineering		\$20,450	
	Total Estimate For Worksite:	\$434,262	

Summary

Total Estimated Costs Without AA&E:	\$413,812
Total Estimated AA&E:	\$20,450
Total Estimated Restoration Costs:	\$434,262

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Cost Summary

	Estimated Cost	Project %	Admin/AA&E %
<u>Restoration Costs</u>			
Restoration	\$413,812		
Admin, Architecture, and Engineering	\$20,450		4.97 %
SUBTOTAL	\$434,262	100.00 %	
Total Cost Estimate	\$434,262	100.00 %	

Funding Request and Match

FUNDING PROGRAM

Salmon State Projects	\$367,003	84.511885 %
-----------------------	-----------	-------------

SPONSOR MATCH

Other Monetary Funding	Grant - Other	
Amount		\$14,374.
Funding Organization		Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)
Grant Program		RFEG contract TE Note: RFEG funds from a contract beginning July 1, 2023. Contract number TBD.
Other Monetary Funding	Grant - State	
Amount		\$50,000.
Funding Organization		WWCC
Grant Program		State Conservation Commission: Natural Resources Investment Fund
Other Monetary Funding	Grant - State	
Amount		\$2,885.
Funding Organization		WWCC
Grant Program		TE
Match Total:		\$67,25915.488115 %
Total Funding Request (Funding + Match):		\$434,262100.000000 %

Questions

#1: Explain how you determined the cost estimates

The cost estimate was build on the estimate provided by design firm and previous project expenses in past phases.

Cultural Resources

Cultural Resource Areas

Worksite #1: Walla Walla B2B Phase 3A

Area: Phase 3A

Project Application Report - 23-1029

- #1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

Stream banks and stream beds will be excavated with heavy equipment as needed for placement and anchoring of the large wood pieces. Excavated materials will be placed back onto/into the structures. Parts of remnant side channels will be re-graded to improve connection with the main channel. The disturbed banks and upland areas will be planted with nursery stock, cuttings, or by hydroseeding following construction. Staging is expected to occur on land abutting the stream banks on each side of the channel.

- #2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

Channel work and structure placement will require a trackhoe to dig, place, and backfill material. In isolated dewatered work spaces we will excavate and grade side channel reconnection according to plan set. Large wood structures including apex, flow deflection jam, sweeper logs, bank rootwads, and single logs will be installed in the project site. Pile driven structures will be embedded 10 to 15 ft below grade in isolated dewatered work spaces. Downstream of the apex jams will have an excavate 20 ft by 5 ft pre-form scour pool. The flow deflection jams will be placed into bank in an excavated trench to allow 4.5 ft of cover, bank rootwads will be placed in excavated trench of 5 ft of cover, and single logs will have an excavated trench of 3 ft cover. Riparian planting in .2 acres on both banks will follow instream work with handtools.

- #3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

None

- #4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

The project area includes both banks starting about 4700' (stream-length) downstream of the McDonald Road Bridge, and continues for about 2000' (stream-length) downstream. The property on both banks is privately owned and is mostly pasture/agricultural land. No known historic land uses or excavations at project site.

- #5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?
Yes

- #5a: List the agency that will be issuing the permit and the date you anticipate applying for and receiving the permit. Will the federal permit cover ALL proposed ground disturbing activities included in the project?

USACE, section 106. Yes.

- #6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.
No

- #7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?
No

- #8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?
No

- #9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.
No

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Project Permits

Permits and Reviews	Issuing Organization	Applied Date	Received Date	Expiration Date	Permit #
Cultural Assessment [Section 106]	DAHP				
Dredge/Fill Permit [Section 10/404 or 404]	Army Corps of Eng.				
Endangered Species Act Compliance [ESA]	US Fish & Wildlife				
Hydraulics Project Approval [HPA]	Dept of Fish & Wildlife				

Permit Questions

#1: Are you planning on using the federal permit streamlining process? [Limit 8](#)
Yes

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Attachments

Required Attachments

6 out of 6 done

Applicant Resolution/Authorizations
Cost Estimate
Landowner acknowledgement form
Map: Restoration Worksite
Photo
RCO Fiscal Data Collection Sheet

✓
✓
✓
✓
✓
✓

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF)

Photos (JPG, GIF)



550367 Primary



550366 Secondary



550364



557697

PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS

Project Documents and Photos

File Type	Attach Date	Attachment Type	Title	Person	File Name, Number Associations	Show
	06/15/2023	Design document	Bridge_to_Bridge_SRFB_Comment_Respo	MorganM	Bridge_to_Bridge_SRFB_Comment_Re... 565988	✓
	05/24/2023	Application Review Report	Grant Manager Comments, 23-1029R(rtnd 05/24/23 12:05)	AliceR	Grant Manager Comments Report - 23-1029 (rtnd 05-24-2023_12-05-59).pdf, 563758	✓
	04/14/2023	Project Application Report	Project Application Report, 23-1029R (sub 04/14/23 13:54:41)	MorganM	Project Application Report - 23-1029 (submitted 04-14-2023_13-54-41).pdf, 558225	✓
	04/14/2023	Cost Estimate	SRFB_Cost_Estimate B2B P3A.xlsx	MorganM	SRFB_Cost_Estimate B2B P3A.xlsx, 558217	✓
	04/11/2023	Map: Restoration Worksite	B2B_Phase 3A Restoration .jpeg	MorganM	B2B_Phase 3A Restoration .jpeg, 557697	✓
	03/03/2023	Letters of Support	WWCCD Ltr of Support_TSS_230130.pdf	MorganM	WWCCD Ltr of Support_TSS_230130.pdf, 553798	✓
	03/03/2023	Applicant Resolution/Authorizations	B2BPhase3_ApplicantAuthorizationResoluti	MorganM	B2BPhase3_ApplicantAuthorizationRes... 553797	✓
	03/03/2023	Landowner acknowledgement form	RCO-LandownerAck-B2BPhase3Restortion.pdf	MorganM	RCO-LandownerAck-B2BPhase3Restortion.pdf, 553796	✓
	02/23/2023	RCO Fiscal Data Collection Sheet	FiscalDataCollectionSheet.pdf	MorganM	FiscalDataCollectionSheet.pdf, 552579	✓
	02/01/2023	Photo	RemoteMediaFile_6554048_0_2022_05_21	MorganM	RemoteMediaFile_6554048_0_2022_0... 550367	✓
	02/24/2023	Photo	RemoteMediaFile_6554041_0_2022_05_21	AliceR	RemoteMediaFile_6554041_0_2022_0... 550366	✓
	02/01/2023	Map: Restoration Worksite	B2B_VicinityMap.JPG (1).JPG	MorganM	B2B_VicinityMap.JPG (1).jpg, 550364	✓
	02/01/2023	Final design	Revised Bridge to Bridge Phase_3_Summary.pdf	MorganM	Revised Bridge to Bridge Phase_3_Summary.pdf, 550362	✓

Application Status

Application Due Date: 06/27/2023

Project Application Report - 23-1029

Status Name	Status Date	Submitted By	Submission Notes
Application Resubmitted	06/21/2023	Morgan Morris	
Application Returned	05/24/2023	Alice Rubin	
Application Submitted	04/14/2023	Morgan Morris	
Preapplication	01/09/2023		

I certify that to the best of my knowledge, the information in this application is true and correct. Further, all application requirements due on the application due date have been fully completed to the best of my ability. I understand that if this application is found to be incomplete, it will be rejected by RCO. I understand that I may be required to submit additional documents before evaluation or approval of this project and I agree to provide them. (Morgan Morris, 06/21/2023)

Date of last change: 06/21/2023